

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wespie.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/615,276	07/08/2003	Kristian DiMatteo	01194-458001 / 03-282	8211	
26161 FISH & RICH	7590 10/20/200 ARDSON PC	EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 102	2	EBRAHIM, NABILA G			
MINNEAPOL	IS, MN 55440-1022		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1618		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/20/2008	FLECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PATDOCTC@fr.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/615,276	DIMATTEO ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Nabila G. Ebrahim	1618		

	Nabila G. Ebrahim	1618					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 05 September 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
 M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following in application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
 a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing 	date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I)	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.				
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of		36/a) and the appropriat	e extension fee				
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount nortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl	iance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two months	s of the date of				
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
 I he proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a)							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1 See attached Notice of Non-Co	mnliant Amendment (PTOL-324)				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		inpliant varionament (TOL OL+).				
 Applicant's Teply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claim(s). 							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	xplanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.13(d)(1).							
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
/Michael G. Hartley/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1618	/Nabila G Ebrahim/ Examiner, Art Unit 1618						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

applicant argues that Smith disclosure does not involve forming a cross-linked Dolwner matrix. To respond, it is noted that Gray's reference teaches polymeric matrix qinic is partially cross-linked (page 6, lines 8+), applicant also argues that one skilled in the art would understand that, Smith's process does not involve forming a cross-linked polymer matrix. One skilled in the art would also understand that Smith's process does not involve forming a cross-linked polymer matrix. One skilled in the art would also understand that Smith discloses that his process, including, for example, the solvent, must be very carefully followed in order both in is particles with intended properties. To resond, it is noted that after reviewing the solvents and the rules of using the solvents as disclosed in Smith is a conventional chemical instruction that have never stopped people having skill in the art from modifying different disclosures. Gray does not provide an enabling disclosure of how to make the particles covered by these claims, and the combination of these references also does not provide an enabling disclosure for making such particles. To rspond, Gray is relied upon for teaching that a metal such as yttimuc an be comprised in the pores of the disclosed beads and the purpose of using. Applicant also argues that Gray, at least because Kaminski does not disclose information that would have made one skilled in the art want to try to modify. Smith's metrol ye provide the particles covered by claims 1, 3-10, 15, 17-27, 29-31 and 35-37, and Kaminski also does not provide information that would have enabled one skilled in the art want to make such a modification to Smith's process if such a person would have wanted to do so. Tespond, Kaminski was relied upon for teaching the radioactively labeled monoclonal antibody over wanted to do so. Tespond, Gray in which can be labeled with a radiosicobee (example III) to treat cancer's